

KUKI INPI MANIPUR

(Apex body of the Kuki Tribes)

Administrative Centre: Lamka - 795128 manipurkuki.inpi@gmail.com

MEMORANDUM

To:

Shri Amit Shah
Hon'ble Union Home Minister
Ministry of Home Affairs
Government of India

North Block, New Delhi – 110001

Subject: Urgent Prayer for Immediate Halt and Reconsideration of the Proposed Border Fencing between India and Myanmar in Kuki Ancestral Territories

Respected Sir,

The **Kuki Inpi Manipur**, representing the constitutional, customary, and indigenous interests of the tribal Kuki people of Manipur, humbly submits this urgent memorandum expressing our deep anguish and strong opposition to the ongoing construction of the Indo-Myanmar border fencing. This project threatens to permanently divide the ancestral lands of the Kuki-Zo people, severing communities, destroying centuries-old familial ties, and violating the spirit of constitutional safeguards and international norms governing indigenous peoples' rights.

I. Legal and Constitutional Violations:

The proposed fencing—carried out without consent or consultation—violates multiple provisions of the Indian Constitution and established legal safeguards:

- 1. **Article 371C** of the Constitution of India guarantees the protection of the Hill Areas of Manipur and mandates consultation with the Hill Areas Committee for any development or legislative measures affecting tribal regions. Proceeding without such consultation renders the project legally untenable.
- 2. **Article 300A** protects the right to property. The fencing project will amount to deprivation of property without authority of law, particularly in Scheduled Areas where customary landholding is the norm.
- 3. The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (LARR Act) mandates prior informed consent, social impact assessment, and fair compensation before land acquisition—none of which has been undertaken for this project.
- 4. The Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 criminalises the alienation of tribal land and any actions that disrupt tribal habitation or insult their dignity. Fencing without ST consent violates this law.

5. The **Samatha Judgment** of the Hon'ble Supreme Court (1997) ruled that tribal lands in Scheduled Areas cannot be transferred or encroached upon by the state or private entities without appropriate legal processes. The fencing project directly contravenes this precedent.

II. Violation of Free Regime Movement:

The **Indo-Myanmar Free Movement Regime** (FMR)—a bilateral arrangement between India and Myanmar—permits tribal communities living within 16 km of the international border to move freely across it without a visa, in recognition of their ethnic, familial, and cultural ties.

- The proposed fencing runs contrary to the very purpose of the **FMR**, as it physically obstructs indigenous peoples' traditional mobility and interaction.
- Fencing will disrupt cross-border religious, social, and economic exchanges and contradicts India's declared policy of respecting the indigenous way of life.
- Implementation of fencing without bilateral renegotiation of the FMR violates India's commitment to international and regional cooperation on indigenous rights.

III. Historical and Humanitarian Context:

Historically, the Kuki ancestral territory was an **undivided hill country**, and even under the British colonial administration, no fencing or hard boundaries were ever imposed. The post-colonial state's attempt to erect a permanent barrier across this region is unprecedented, unjustified, and deeply traumatic for the affected populations.

- The fencing will *forcibly divide homogeneous tribal populations*—a scenario comparable to the Berlin Wall, but in a peaceful and demographically integrated landscape.
- Tribal communities on both sides of the border continue to share **kinship**, **language**, **religion**, **land**, **and livelihood systems**. This social and cultural fabric will be irrevocably damaged.

IV. Destabilisation of a Conflict-Sensitive Region:

The Indo-Myanmar tribal belt is already witnessing ethnic unrest, insurgency, and humanitarian crises. Imposing a unilateral territorial barrier will:

- Aggravate community grievances;
- Undermine India's internal security efforts by fueling resentment;
- Alienate border communities and weaken state legitimacy.

Fencing also disregards the customary land rights of the Scheduled Tribes, recognised under Indian constitutional frameworks and the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP).

IV. Affected Villages:

Please find enclosed a **list of 40 villages** (20 in India, 20 in Myanmar) with a **combined total of over 4,000 tribal households** directly affected by this fencing proposal. These include:

| Sl.No. | India | | Myanmar | |
|--------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Name of Village | No. of | Name of Village | No.Houses |
| | | Houses | | |
| 1. | Houlenphai | 70 | Nanphalong | 250 |
| 2. | Chonjang | 25 | Valpabung | 220 |
| 3. | Bongmol | 50 | Saya San | 60 |
| 4. | Ch.Tengnoupal | 40 | Voksu | 80 |
| 5. | Yangngoubung | 150 | Teijang | 230 |
| 6. | T.S.Laijang | 60 | Tongkyaw | 280 |
| 7. | Thingphai | 70 | Phailen | 190 |
| 8. | Gamphajol | 50 | Mantong | 80 |
| 9. | Joldam | 25 | Zedi | 36 |
| 10. | Changpol | 15 | Mongjang | 45 |
| 11. | C.Gamnom | 20 | Aungzeyah | 50 |
| 12. | Nampao | 35 | Lallim | 50 |
| 13. | Khangtung | 28 | Khomunnom | 130 |
| 14. | Phaisen | 29 | Janglenphai | 90 |
| 15. | Khengjang | 35 | Twidimjang | 42 |
| 16. | Molcham | 156 | Twivang | 75 |
| 17. | Samtal | 57 | Bokkan (Zoumun) | 800 |
| 18. | Lajang | 36 | Kanmahgyi | 200 |
| 19. | Yangnomphai | 15 | Kanan | 200 |
| | Total affected | 986 | | 3108 |
| | Total families affected | from both si | ides=4094 | <u>.</u> |

VI. Our Humble Prayers:

In view of the foregoing, we humbly appeal to the Hon'ble Home Minister to:

- 1. **Immediately halt** the ongoing construction of the Indo-Myanmar border fencing in Kuki-inhabited regions;
- 2. **Respect the Free Movement Regime (FMR)** and ensure no fencing is undertaken that violates its provisions or displaces its beneficiaries;
- 3. **Initiate meaningful consultations** with affected tribal communities, the Hill Areas Committee under Article 371C, and local customary authorities;
- 4. **Constitute an independent review commission**, including representatives from the Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Ministry of Home Affairs, NHRC, and indigenous institutions to evaluate the social, legal, and cultural impact of the fencing;
- 5. **Uphold all constitutional and legal protections**, including those under Article 300A, LARR Act 2013, SC/ST (PoA) Act 1989, and the Samatha judgment;
- 6. **Develop alternative non-intrusive border management solutions** that uphold both national security and indigenous rights.

Copies Forwarded To:

- 1. His Excellency, The Governor of Manipur
- 2. The Hon'ble High Commissioner, United Nations Human Rights Council
- 3. The Chairperson, National Human Rights Commission, New Delhi
- 4. The United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII), New York
- 5. Ministry of Tribal Affairs, Government of India
- 6. The Chairperson, National Commission for Scheduled Tribes

With utmost respect and constitutional faith,

(Lenin Haokip)

Legal Affairs Secretary Kuki Inpi Manipur Ph: 9366562510

Email: [paominlen.haokip@gmail.com]

Date: 26th July, 2025

Enclosures:

- 1. List of affected villages on both sides of the Indo-Myanmar border
- 2. Community statements from Kuki village authorities
- 3. Map highlighting disputed fencing areas (if available)